


C) REMARKS

1. Acknowledged.
2. Claim 16 has been amended to claim back to Claim 10. Claim 24 now claims back to independent claim 18. Claim 25 now claims back to dependent claim 23, which depends from independent claim 18.
3. The applicant has deleted the limitation with respect to the plurality of detector modules being arranged in a rigid array.
4. The Examiner has objected to numerous informalities in Claims 1, 8, 10, 17, 18, 21, 23 and 27. Applicant has addressed each of the formalities identified by the Examiner and respectfully submits that the claims are now in position for allowance.
5. Applicant now identifies a first end of the detector module in claim 1 and a second end of the detector module with respect to claim 6.

With respect to Claim 15, applicant has now identified a first end of the detector module in claim 11. With respect to claim 17, claim 17 has been amended to claim the benefit of claim 15 directly.
6. Acknowledged.
7. Acknowledged.
8. Acknowledged.
9. Acknowledged with thanks.
10. Acknowledged.
11. Acknowledged.

Respectfully submitted,
David M. Hoffman, Applicant

By 

Joseph S. Heino
Reg. No. 31,524
DAVIS & KUELTHAU, s.c.
111 E. Kilbourn Ave., Ste. 1400
Milwaukee, WI 53202-1633
(414) 225-1452

Patrick M. Bergin
Reg. No. 54,994
DAVIS & KUELTHAU, s.c.
111 E. Kilbourn Ave., Ste. 1400
Milwaukee, WI 53202-1633
(414) 225-7563